# HAMILTON COUNTY, TEXAS STATE SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

**September 30, 2010** 



3411 Richmond Avenue, Suite 500 Houston, Texas 77046 (713) 621-1515

# HAMILTON COUNTY, TEXAS TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance With Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with the State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards Chapter IV State of Texas Single Audit	
Circular	3
Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards	6
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards	7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	8



# Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable County Judge Mills and Members of the Commissioners Court Hamilton County, Texas

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information Hamilton County, Texas (the "County"), as of and for the year ended September 30, 2010, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated October 17, 2011. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

# **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. See finding 2010-01.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be material weaknesses. See finding 2010-01.

.

To the Honorable County Judge Mills and Members of the Commissioners Court Hamilton County, Texas Page 2

# **Compliance and Other Matters**

N/ull Zaison, P.C.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Hamilton County, Texas, the County Judge, Commissioners Court, management, state awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Houston, Texas October 17, 2011



Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance With Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with the State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards Chapter IV State of Texas Single Audit Circular

To the Honorable County Judge Mills and Members of the Commissioners Court Hamilton County, Texas

# **Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of Hamilton County, Texas (the "County") with the types of compliance requirements described in the *State of Texas Single Audit Circular* that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major state programs for the year ended September 30, 2011. The County's major state programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major state programs is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and *State of Texas Single Audit Circular*. Those standards and *State of Texas Single Audit Circular* require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major state program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major state programs for the year ended September 30, 2010.

# Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to state programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major state program to determine the auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards Chapter IV *State of Texas Single Audit Circular*, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

To the Honorable County Judge Mills and Members of the Commissioners Court Hamilton County, Texas Page 2

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

#### Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the County as of and for the year ended September 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated October 17, 2011. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming our opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by *State of Texas Single Audit Circular* and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Hamilton County, Texas, the County Judge, Commissioners Court, management, state awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Houston, Texas

V/ull Zaism, P.C.

October 17, 2011

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

(1) State Agency/Program Title	Contract Number		Expenditures	
Texas Historic Commission				
Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program-Phase I	CTH-Hamilton-05-2008	\$	285,454	
Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program-Phase II	CTH-Hamilton-06-2010		1,257,917	
Total Texas Historic Commission			1,543,371	
Total State Expenditures			1,543,371	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS For the Year Ended September 30, 2010

#### **Note 1 - Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of state awards (the "schedule") includes the state grant activity of the Hamilton County, Texas (the "County") under programs of the state government for the year ended September 30, 2010. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the *State of Texas Single Audit Circular*. Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the County, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net assets or cash flows of the County.

# Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the County accounts for all awards under state programs on an accrual basis of accounting and, accordingly, reflects all significant receivables, payables, and other liabilities.

State grant funds are considered to be earned to the extent of expenditures made under the provisions of the grant. When such funds are advanced to the County, they are recorded as deferred revenues until earned. Otherwise, state grant funds are received on a reimbursement basis from the respective state program agencies. Generally, unused balances are returned to the grantor at the close of specified project periods.

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in *State of Texas Single Audit Circular* wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts shown on the schedule represent adjustments or credits made in the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years. Pass-through entity identifying numbers are presented where available.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Year Ended September 30, 2010

# Section I - Summary of Auditors' Results

FINANCIAI.	<b>STATEMENTS</b>
LINANCIAL	SIMILMENIS

Type of auditors' report issued:

Unqualified

Internal control over financial reporting:

a. Material weakness(es) identified? Yes

b. Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?

None reported

Noncompliance material to the financial statements

noted? None

STATE AWARDS

Internal control over major programs:

a. Material weakness(es) identified?

b. Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:

Unqualified

No

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with *State of Texas Single Audit Circular* 

Identification of major programs:

<u>Contract Number(s)</u> <u>Name of State Program or Cluster</u>

Texas Historic Commission:

CTH-Hamilton-05-2008 Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation

CTH-Hamilton-06-2010 Program -Phase I

Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation

Program -Phase II

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and

type B programs: \$300,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? No

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (continued) For the Year Ended September 30, 2010

# **Section II - Financial Statement Findings**

# Finding #2010-01-Lack of Internal Controls Over Reporting

#### Criteria

The County should ensure that proper internal controls regarding their design or operation allow management, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis.

#### Condition

As is common within the system of internal control of most small organizations, the accounting function of the County does not prepare the financial statements complete with footnotes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, the County has not established internal controls over the preparation of its financial statements.

During the course of performing an audit, it is not unusual for the auditor to prepare various journal entries to present the financial statements on both the fund basis and the government-wide basis of accounting. In addition, the County's Management relies on the external auditor to prepare and post adjustments related to the presentation of the long-term capital assets and liabilities in the government-wide financial statements. Therefore, Management's reliance upon the auditor to detect and make these necessary adjustments is considered to be a material weakness in internal control. This condition is considered to be a material weakness of the County's system of internal control over financial reporting.

#### Context

This matter was determined throughout the external audit and reporting process.

#### Effect

Increased risk of material financial misstatements.

#### Cause

As a result of the limited staffing inherently available to smaller organizations such as the County, the lack of accounting staff proficient in governmental accounting and reporting is the cause of this deficiency.

#### Recommendation

The County's accounting consultants should maintain source documents relating to accruals in order to assist the auditors with the preparation of accrual-based financial information.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (continued) For the Year Ended September 30, 2010

# Section III - Federal and State Award Findings and Questioned Costs

The audit disclosed no findings to be reported.

# **Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs**

# Finding #2009-1 Bank Reconciliation

# **Condition**

The County's bank accounts were not reconciled for the fiscal year as of the time of the audit.

# **Status**

The County has since implemented procedures in order to perform its bank reconciliations in a timely manner. Bank reconciliations were completed as of the beginning of the audit.

# Finding #2009-2 Suspension and debarment

#### Condition

There were instances in which documentation verifying a vendor's suspension or debarment status could not be provided by the County.

# **Status**

The County has since implemented procedures in order to ensure that vendors were not suspended or debarred.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (continued) For the Year Ended September 30, 2010

# Section V. - CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

# Finding #2010-01- Lack of Internal Controls Over Reporting

Responsible Person(s): Marliessa Clark, CPA

Corrective Action Plan:

The County will implement procedures in order to maintain information necessary for accrual-based accounting.

Estimated Completion Date: September 30, 2011